

# RESPIRATORY CARE

*The Science Journal of the American Association for Respiratory Care*

## 2009 OPEN FORUM Abstracts

### COMPARISON OF DELIVERED FIO<sub>2</sub> AT TWO DIFFERENT FLOWRATES USING THE PULMANEX® HI-OX 80® OXYGEN MASK

**Dennis Rose, Doug Pursley, Aaron Light, Joseph Bishop, John Myers, Jennifer Brundridge; Ozarks Technical Community College, Springfield, MO**

Background: The Pulmanex Hi-Ox 80 Oxygen Mask (Summit Technologies, Canada) is a disposable mask with low resistance valves and reservoir bag. According to the manufacturer's website, it is capable of producing an FIO<sub>2</sub> of >80% at 8 L/m and >99% at 15 L/m of oxygen flow. A previous study performed at our institution found that the Hi-Ox 80 mask at 8 L/m produced a mean FIO<sub>2</sub> of 72% (SD 11%). In this study, we sought to determine the mean FIO<sub>2</sub> at 15 L/m and compare it to the previous study. Methodology: After obtaining IRB approval from our institution, we recruited 15 healthy adults with a mean age of 23.8 years into our study. All participants were required to be less than 35 years of age, have a DLCO value of 90% of predicted or greater, be a non-smoker, and have no history of lung disease. Individuals meeting these criteria were then seated, placed on a tight-fitting Hi-Ox 80 mask at 15 L/m, and were instructed to relax, breathe normally, and not talk for a period of 15 minutes. During the testing period, all subjects were observed to perform quiet, restful breathing. At the end of the fifteen minute period, we performed a radial artery blood gas and measured pH, PaCO<sub>2</sub>, and PaO<sub>2</sub> using a GEM 3000 blood gas analyzer. No air bubbles were observed in any of the syringes and all samples were analyzed within five minutes. Assuming that our young, healthy subjects had normal cardiopulmonary anatomy and physiology, we estimated PAO<sub>2</sub> by dividing PaO<sub>2</sub> by a normal a/A ratio of 0.9 to reflect a ten percent higher partial pressure of oxygen in the alveoli than in arterial blood. Knowing approximate PAO<sub>2</sub>, we then calculated FIO<sub>2</sub> by the following formula:  $FIO_2 = [(PaO_2 \div 0.9) + (PaCO_2 \times 1.20)] \div (PB - 47)$ . Results: The mean PaO<sub>2</sub> produced by the Hi-Ox 80 mask at 15 L/m was 458 mmHg. This resulted in a mean calculated FIO<sub>2</sub> of 80.7%. Results from the previous 8 L/m study and the current study were tested for normal distribution and then a paired t-test was performed. The test showed that there was a statistical difference in delivered FiO<sub>2</sub> between the two flowrates with a p value of 0.048. When subjects from the 15 L/m group with PaCO<sub>2</sub> values < 35 mmHg were removed (n=9), the mean FiO<sub>2</sub> increased to 86%. Conclusion: Although the mean FIO<sub>2</sub> at 15 L/m did not reach 99% as suggested by the manufacturer, it did

effectively increase FIO2 to a high level. Increasing the flowrate from 8 to 15 L/m produced a statistically significant increase in delivered FiO2. Sponsored Research - None

---

*You are here: [RCJournal.com](#) » [Past OPEN FORUM Abstracts](#) » [2009 Abstracts](#) » [COMPARISON OF DELIVERED FIO2 AT TWO DIFFERENT FLOWRATES USING THE PULMANEX® HI-OX 80® OXYGEN MASK](#)*

---

© The Journal RESPIRATORY CARE Company | ISSN: 0020-1324 e-ISSN: 1943-3654  
9425 N. MacArthur Blvd., Suite 100, Irving TX 75063 | Tel: 1 972 243 2272 | Fax: 1 972 484 2720  
[Contact Us](#) | [Advertise with Us](#)